

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/580,987	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZHANG ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b> MARIA LEAVITT		<b>Art Unit</b> 1633	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Maria Leavitt.

(2) Gary Baker.

**Date of Interview:** 01-29-2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

/Maria Leavitt/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Gary Baker, to discuss rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. A draft proposal to cancel claim 51 and to amend claims 34, 36, 41 and 50 was faxed by the Examiner to Applicants to address the remaining rejections of record. Applicants agreed to the examiner's amendment to claim 51 and to amend claims 34, 36, 41 and 50 ..